See Page 2,

Induction

Therapy

Making Cancer History®

Cancer Center Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

Note: Consider Clinical Trials as treatment options for eligible patients. PATHOLOGIC DIAGNOSIS

ESSENTIAL:

- Hematopathology review of all slides with at least one paraffin block or 15 unstained slides representative of the tumor. Rebiopsy if consult material is nondiagnostic.
- Adequate morphology and immunophenotyping to establish diagnosis¹
- Paraffin panel: CD3 (and/or another pan T-cell marker), CD20 (and/or another pan B-cell marker), CD23, CD30, Ki67
- Flow cytometry immunophenotypic studies: CD45 (LCA), CD3, CD5, CD10, CD19, CD20, CD22, CD23, CD30, kappa and lambda
- Additional immunohistochemical studies as needed: PD-L1/L2, CD5, CD10, CD15, CD45, CD79a, BCL-2, BCL-6, MUM-1/IRF4

OF USE IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES:

- EBER in situ hybridization, LMP-1, HHV-8, CD138, TdT, ALK1
- FISH studies to detect gene rearrangements involving: MYC, BCL2 and/or BCL6
- Molecular studies to detect clonality of the IGH

STRONGLY RECOMMENDED:

- FNA or core biopsy for tissue array/banking by protocol
- ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
- ¹ Typical immunophenotype: diffuse positivity for CD20 or another pan B-cell marker
- ² See Appendix A: International Prognostic Index (IPI)
- ³ MUGA scan may be omitted for young patients receiving limited anthracycline
- ⁴ See Physical Activity, Nutrition, and Tobacco Cessation Treatment algorithms; ongoing reassessment of lifestyle risks should be a part of routine clinical practice

INITIAL EVALUATION

- **ESSENTIAL:** • Physical exam: attention to node-bearing areas, including Waldever's ring, and to size of liver and spleen
- ECOG performance status
- B symptoms (unexplained fever $> 38^{\circ}$ C during the previous month; recurrent drenching night sweats during the previous month; weight loss > 10% of body weight ≤ 6 months of diagnosis)
- CBC with differential, LDH, BUN, creatinine, albumin, AST, ALT, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, calcium, uric acid
- Beta 2 microglobulin
- Screening for HIV 1 and 2, hepatitis B and C (HBcAb, HBsAg, HCV Ab) (refer to Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) Screening and Management and Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Screening algorithms)
- PET/CT preferably with contrast
- Calculation of IPI²
- MUGA scan³ or echocardiogram
- Discuss fertility issues and sperm banking for patients of child bearing potential (refer to Fertility Preservation Prior to Cancer Treatment algorithm)
- Lifestyle risk assessment⁴

OF USE IN SELECTED CASES:

- CT neck, chest, abdomen and pelvis with contrast
- CT or MRI of head, and MRI of the spine (only if clinical suspicion of involvement with lymphoma)
- Unilateral or bilateral bone marrow biopsy with or without aspirate
- Pregnancy test
- Consider lumbar puncture and intrathecal chemotherapy if paranasal sinus, testicular, epidural, ≥ 2 extranodal sites, or if IPI² score ≥ 3
- Consider thoracentesis if clinically indicated

Page 2 of 10

Making Cancer History®

Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

Note: Consider Clinical Trials as treatment options for eligible patients.

INDUCTION THERAPY



¹ DAEPOCH-R: dose adjusted EPOCH-R: etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and rituximab (see Appendix B); administration is based on age and performance status of the patient ² For response assessment, refer to: Cheson, B. D., Fisher, R. I., Barrington, S. F., Lister, T. A., Cavalli, F., Zucca, E., & Schwartz, L. H. (2014). Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: The Lugano classification. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *32*(27), 3059-3067. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8800

Making Cancer History®

Cancer Center Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

Note: Consider Clinical Trials as treatment options for eligible patients. **RESPONSE EVALUATION**



³ Bulky disease: mass \geq 7.5 cm on CT imaging

⁴ PET equivocal: maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) greater than mediastinal blood pool in the residual mediastinal mass

Copyright 2024 The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Making Cancer History®

Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.



ESHAP = etoposide, methylprednisolone, high-dose cytarabine, cisplatin

MINE = mesna, ifosfamide, mitoxantrone, etoposide

DHAP = dexamethasone, cytarabine, cisplatin

BV-Nivo = brentuximab vedotin, nivolumab

¹GCC should be initiated by the Primary Oncologist. If Primary Oncologist is unavailable, Primary Team/Attending Physician to initiate GCC discussion and notify Primary Oncologist. Patients, or if clinically indicated, the Patient Representative should be informed of therapeutic and/or palliative options. GCC discussion should be consistent, timely, and re-evaluated as clinically indicated. The Advance Care Planning (ACP) note should be used to document GCC discussion. Refer to GCC home page (for internal use only).

² For response assessment, refer to: Cheson, B. D., Fisher, R. I., Barrington, S. F., Lister, T. A., Cavalli, F., Zucca, E., & Schwartz, L. H. (2014). Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: The Lugano classification. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *32*(27), 3059-3067. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8800

³ Clinical trials or individual regimens: except for patients with disease-free interval, those who progress after three successive regimens are unlikely to derive additional benefit from currently utilized combination chemotherapy regimens

Page 5 of 10

Making Cancer History®

Cancer Center Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

APPENDIX A: International Prognostic Index (IPI)

Pre-Treatment Characteristics, ALL PATIENTS:

- Age > 60 years old
- LDH greater than upper limit of normal
- ECOG performance status 2-4
- Stage III or IV
- Extranodal involvement > 1 site

International Index, ALL PATIENTS:

	Number of characteristics
• Low	0 or 1
• Low intermediate	2
• High intermediate	3
• High	4 or 5

Age-Adjusted IPI

- **Pre-Treatment Characteristics, ALL PATIENTS ≤ 60 YEARS:**
- LDH greater than one times upper limit of normal
- ECOG performance status 2-4
- Extranodal involvement > 1 site

International Index, ALL PATIENTS ≤ 60 YEARS:

Number of characteristics

• Low	0	
• Low intermediate	1	
• High intermediate	2	
• High	3	

Making Cancer History®

Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

APPENDIX B: Dose Adjusted EPOCH-R

Table 1. EPOCH-R starting dose level		
Drug	Dose, route, treatment days	
Rituximab	375 mg/m ² IV Day 1	
Etoposide	50 mg/m ² /day continuous IV Days 1-4	
Doxorubicin	10 mg/m ² /day continuous IV Days 1-4	
Vincristine	0.4 mg/day continuous IV Days 1-4*	
Cyclophosphamide	750 mg/m²/day IV Day 5	
Prednisone	60 mg/m ² PO twice daily Days 1-5	
Filgrastim product	5 mcg/kg subcutaneously daily starting on Day 6 until ANC > 5 K/microliter	
Next Cycle ^{**}	Day 21	

* The original protocol/study dose of vincristine was 0.4 mg/m²/day with no dose cap on vincristine ** Begin on Day 21 if the ANC \geq 1 K/microliter and the platelet count \geq 100 K/microliter

Table 2. EPOCH dose-adjustment paradigm		
Nadir measurements ^{***}	Dose-adjustment	
If nadir ANC \geq 0.5 K/microliter	20% increase in etoposide, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide above last cycle	
If nadir ANC < 0.5 K/microliter on 1 or 2 measurements	Same doses as last cycle	
If nadir ANC < 0.5 K/microliter on at least 3 measurements <u>or</u>	20% decrease in etoposide, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide below last cycle	
If nadir platelet count < 25 K/microliter on 1 measurement		

Note: Dose adjustments above starting dose level apply to etoposide, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide. Dose adjustments below starting dose level apply to cyclophosphamide only.

*** Measurements of ANC and platelet nadir are based on twice weekly CBC only

Making Cancer History®

Cancer Center Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

APPENDIX C: 5-Point Scale (5PS)

• Score 1: no uptake

- Score 2: uptake less than or equal to mediastinum
- Score 3: uptake greater than mediastinum but less than or equal to liver
- Score 4: uptake moderately greater than liver
- Score 5: uptake markedly greater than liver and new sites of disease
- Score X: new areas of uptake unlikely to be related to lymphoma

A score of 1-3 is regarded as negative and 4 or 5 as positive

Making Cancer History®

Cancer Center Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

SUGGESTED READINGS

- Burke, G. A., Minard-Colin, V., Aupérin, A., Alexander, S., Pillon, M., Delgado, R., ... Gross, T. G. (2021). Dose-adjusted etoposide, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide with vincristine and prednisone plus rituximab therapy in children and adolescents with primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma: A multicenter phase II trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 39(33), 3716-3724. doi:10.1200/JCO.21.00920
- Cheson, B. D., Fisher, R. I., Barrington, S. F., Cavalli, F., Schwartz, L. H., Zucca, E., & Lister, T. A. (2014). Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: The Lugano classification. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 32(27), 3059-3067. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8800
- Dunleavy, K., Pittaluga, S., Maeda, L. S., Advani, R., Chen, C. C., Hessler, J., ... Staudt, L. M. (2013). Dose-adjusted EPOCH-rituximab therapy in primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma. New England Journal of Medicine, 368(15), 1408-1416. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1214561
- Gisselbrecht, C., Glass, B., Mounier, N., Gill, D., Linch, D., Trneny, M., ... Schmitz, N. (2009). R-ICE versus R-DHAP in relapsed patients with CD20 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) followed by autologous stem cell transplantation: CORAL study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 27(15S), 8509-8509. doi:10.1200/jco.2009.27.15 suppl.8509
- Hayden, A. R., Tonseth, P., Lee, D. G., Villa, D., Gerrie, A. S., Scott, D. W., ... Savage, K. J. (2020). Outcome of primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma using R-CHOP: Impact of a PET-adapted approach. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 136(24), 2803-2811. doi:10.1182/blood.2019004296
- Hoppe, B. S., Advani, R., Milgrom, S. A., Bakst, R. L., Ballas, L. K., Dabaja, B. S., ... Constine, L. S. (2021). Primary Mediastinal B cell Lymphoma in the Positron-Emission Tomography Era Executive Summary of the American Radium SocietyTM Appropriate Use Criteria. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics, 111(1), 36-44. doi:10.1016/ j.ijrobp.2021.03.035
- Illidge, T., Specht, L., Yahalom, J., Aleman, B., Berthelsen, A. K., Constine, L., ... Wirth, A. (2014). Modern radiation therapy for nodal non-hodgkin lymphoma target definition and dose guidelines from the international lymphoma radiation oncology group. International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics, 89(1), 49-58. doi:10.1016/j.ijro bp.2014.01.006
- Martelli, M., Ceriani, L., Zucca, E., Zinzani, P. L., Ferreri, A. J., Vitolo, U., ... Balzarotti, M. (2014). [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography predicts survival after chemoimmunotherapy for primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma: Results of the International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group IELSG-26 Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 32(17), 1769-1775. doi:10.1200/JCO.2013.51.7524
- MD Anderson Institutional Policy #CLN1202 Advance Care Planning Policy. Advance Care Planning (ACP) Conversation Workflow (ATT1925)
- Melani, C., Advani, R., Roschewski, M., Walters, K. M., Chen, C. C., Baratto, L., ... Wilson, W. H. (2018). End-of-treatment and serial PET imaging in primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma following dose-adjusted EPOCH-R: A paradigm shift in clinical decision making. Haematologica, 103(8), 1337. doi:10.3324/haematol.2018.192492
- Mottok, A., Hung, S. S., Chavez, E. A., Woolcock, B., Telenius, A., Chong, L. C., ... Steidl, C. (2019). Integrative genomic analysis identifies key pathogenic mechanisms in primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 134(10), 802-813. doi:10.1182/blood.2019001126

Continued on next page

Making Cancer History®

Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

SUGGESTED READINGS - continued

- Pinnix, C. C., Ng, A. K., Dabaja, B. S., Milgrom, S. A., Gunther, J. R., Fuller, C. D., ... Nastoupil, L. (2018). Positron emission tomography-computed tomography predictors of progression after DA-R-EPOCH for PMBCL. *Blood Advances*, 2(11), 1334-1343. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2018017681
- Savage, K. J. (2006). Primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. The Oncologist, 11(5), 488-495. doi:10.1182/blood.2020008376
- Shipp, M. A., Harrington, D. P., Anderson, J. R., Armitage, J. O., Bonadonna, G., Brittinger, G., ... Cowan, R. A. (1993). A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. New England Journal of Medicine, 329(14), 987-994. doi:10.1056/NEJM199309303291402
- Viganò, E., @nawardana, J., Mottok, A., Van Tol, T., Mak, K., Chan, F. C., ... Steidl, C. (2018). Somatic IL4R mutations in primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma lead to constitutive JAK-STAT signaling activation. *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 131*(18), 2036-2046. doi:10.1182/blood-2017-09-808907
- Westin, J. R., Oluwole, O. O., Kersten, M. J., Miklos, D. B., Perales, M. A., Ghobadi, A., ... Locke, F. L. (2023). Survival with axicabtagene ciloleucel in large B-cell lymphoma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *389*(2), 148-157. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2301665
- Zinzani, P. L., Santoro, A., Gritti, G., Brice, P., Barr, P. M., Kuruvilla, J., ... Moskowitz, A. J. (2023). Nivolumab combined with brentuximab vedotin for R/R primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma: A 3-year follow-up. *Blood Advances*, 7(18), 5272-5280. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2023010254
- Zinzani, P. L., Thieblemont, C., Melnichenko, V., Bouabdallah, K., Walewski, J., Majlis, A., ... Armand, P. (2023). Pembrolizumab in relapsed or refractory primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma: Final analysis of KEYNOTE-170. *Blood Journal*, *142*(2), 141-145. doi:10.1182/blood.2022019340

Making Cancer History®

Disclaimer: This algorithm has been developed for MD Anderson using a multidisciplinary approach considering circumstances particular to MD Anderson's specific patient population, services and structure, and clinical information. This is not intended to replace the independent medical or professional judgment of physicians or other health care providers in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine a patient's care. This algorithm should not be used to treat pregnant women.

DEVELOPMENT CREDITS

This practice algorithm is based on majority expert opinion of the Lymphoma Center providers at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. It was developed using a multidisciplinary approach that included input from the following:

Core Development Team Leads

Dai Chihara, MD, PhD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Chelsea C. Pinnix, MD, PhD (Radiation Oncology) Jason R. Westin, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma)

Workgroup Members

Tharakeswara K. Bathala, MBBS, MD (Abdominal Imaging) Hubert H. Chuang, MD, PhD (Nuclear Medicine) Bouthaina S. Dabaja, MD (Radiation Oncology) Luis E. Fayad, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Olga N. Fleckenstein, BS[•] Fredrick B. Hagemeister, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Benjamin Lee, PharmD, BCPS, BCOP (Pharmacy Clinical Programs) L. Jeffrey Medeiros, MD (Hematopathology Admin) Sattva S. Neelapu, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Chijioke Nze, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Robert Orlowski, MD, PhD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Felipe Samaniego, MD, MPH (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Nicolaus Wagner-Bartak, MD (Abdominal Imaging) Michael Wang, MD (Lymphoma/Myeloma) Mary Lou Warren, DNP, APRN, CNS-CC⁺ Sireesha Yedururi, MBBS (Abdominal Imaging)

*Clinical Effectiveness Development Team